

ON EMBEDDING OF INTEGRABLE EQUATIONS IN (1 + 1) AND (2 + 1) DIMENSIONS INTO THE GENERALIZED SELF-DUAL YANG — MILLS EQUATIONS

A.D.Popov

The generalization of the self-dual Yang — Mills (SDYM) equations on the spaces of arbitrary even dimension is considered. It is shown that all integrable equations in (1 + 1) dimensions and many integrable equations in (2 + 1) dimensions may be obtained by the reduction of the generalized SDYM equations.

The investigation has been performed at the Laboratory of Theoretical Physics, JINR.

О вложении интегрируемых уравнений в (1 + 1)
и (2 + 1) измерениях в обобщенные уравнения
автодуальности модели Янга — Миллса

А.Д.Попов

Рассмотрено обобщение уравнений автодуальности модели Янга — Миллса на пространства произвольной четной размерности. Показано, что все интегрируемые уравнения в (1 + 1) измерениях и многие интегрируемые уравнения в (2 + 1) измерениях могут быть получены редукцией обобщенных уравнений автодуальности модели Янга — Миллса.

Работа выполнена в Лаборатории теоретической физики ОИЯИ.

1. It is known, that many integrable equations in (1+1) dimensions may be embedded into the SDYM equations in $d = 4$ dimensions (see, e.g., [1—7]). This is connected with the fact that SDYM equations may be written as a compatibility condition of two linear equations with the spectral parameter $\lambda \in C$ [8]. Imposing symmetries and algebraic constraints to the fields involved permits one to reduce SDYM equations to the Korteweg — de Vries (KdV) equations, generalized nonlinear Schrödinger (NLS) equations, Boussinesq and many others having a zero curvature representation

$$\partial_t U(\lambda) - \partial_x V(\lambda) + [U(\lambda), V(\lambda)] = 0.$$

Here matrices U and V are polynomials of λ of degree not higher than a second, or functions of $\frac{1}{\lambda \pm 1}$ (chiral models, for example). Clearly, the deri-

vative NLS equations, the Landau — Lifshitz equations and many others, having another type of dependence on spectral parameter, can't be embedded into the $d = 4$ SDYM equations. The hierarchies generated by the equations considered in [2,6] (KdV, NLS, AKNS, DNLS and other hierarchies) also are not embedded into them. That is why the SDYM equations in $d = 4$ can't play the role of the universal integrable system.

2. To solve these problems, it was suggested to consider the generalized SDYM equations for $d > 4$. Such equations were considered by Salamon [9], Ward [10], Galperin, Ivanov, Ogievetsky and Sokatchev [11] and by many others. The main progress was made by considering the self-duality equations in $d = 4n$, in which the hierarchies of KdV, NLS, DNLS, AKNS and of other equations may be embedded [2,6].

It is interesting to note that the geometric definition of self-duality in terms of linear systems and complex structure on R^{4n} (see [9—11]) are equivalent to the algebraic definition of self-duality (see, e.g., [12—15]). It was pointed by Strachan [6], how one may embed a number of hierarchies in $(2 + 1)$ dimensions into these equations. But in all these approaches one obtains only the rational dependence on the spectral parameter λ , and it is not clear how to include into consideration the models with the spectral parameter λ that belongs to the surfaces of genus $g \geq 1$. That is why such important equation as Landau — Lifshitz equation [16] is out of consideration.

We shall show a way to overcome this difficulty.

3. Method of solving of SDYM equations in $d = 4$ is connected with the ideas of the twistor theory [17]. The SDYM equations in $d = 4k$ are connected with the twistor theory for $4k$ -dimensional hyper-Kähler manifolds [9—11,18]. Further generalization of the twistor theory (and of the self-duality equations) was considered in [19].

So for any Riemannian even-dimensional manifold M^{2n} we may consider a bundle $j(M^{2n})$ of the Riemannian almost complex structure with fibers $F = SO(2n)/U(n)$. The idea of the papers [19] is that we may choose as a twistor manifold a submanifold Z in $j(M^{2n})$ with fibres $B \subset SO(2n)/U(n)$. In these papers the case of $B = G/H$ and, in particular, of $B = CP^1 = Sp(1)/U(1)$ is considered as an example. But as B we may also choose the Riemannian surfaces of genus $g \geq 1$, and, in particular, the elliptic curves. They are embedded into the fibres $SO(2n)/U(n)$ with $n \geq 3$ over the $2n$ -dimensional Riemannian manifold. We may use this fact.

Let us consider the flat case of R^{2n} and $j(R^{2n}) \cong R^{2n} \times F$. We have a bundle $j(R^{2n}) \rightarrow F$, where $F = SO(2n)/U(n)$. This is a canonical universal

complex bundle, geometry of which is well known (see, e.g., [20]). The fibre C_J^n over a point $J \in F$ is identified with the complex vector space (R^{2n}, J) of dimension n . Let us consider for simplicity one coordinate patch on F . Coordinates on it we may identify with the antisymmetric $n \times n$ matrices $J = (J_b^a)$, $a, b, \dots = 1, \dots, n$. These matrices parametrise a complex structure on the fibres of the bundle $j(R^{2n}) \rightarrow F$ over the point J , and define the antiholomorphic vector fields $\partial/\partial \bar{z}^a(J)$ on R^{2n} and $\bar{\partial}_J$ -operator:

$$\bar{\partial}_J = d\bar{z}^a(J) \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}^a(J)}, \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}^a(J)} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}^a} + J_a^b \frac{\partial}{\partial z^b}, \quad (1)$$

where $z^a = x^a + iy^a$, (x^a, y^a) are coordinates in R^{2n} , and z^a are coordinates on C_0^n . Clearly, $\bar{\partial}_J^2 = 0$.

Consider the trivial Hermitian vector bundle E over the Euclidean space R^{2n} , associated with the principal G -bundle over R^{2n} , with connection which components are identified with the Yang — Mills (YM) potentials A_1, \dots, A_{2n} . We shall denote by ψ the sections of the bundle \tilde{E} , which is the pull-back of the bundle E over R^{2n} to the manifold $j(R^{2n})$. They are functions $\psi(x, J)$ on $j(R^{2n})$ depending on $x \in R^{2n}$, $J \in F$ and taking values in the space of complex representation (e.g., C^N) of the algebra \mathcal{G} .

Connection on a complex bundle E can be used to lift the operators $\bar{\partial}_J$ from R^{2n} to $j(R^{2n})$. We can introduce the structure of the holomorphic vector bundle in \tilde{E} identifying the operator $\bar{\partial}$ on \tilde{E} ($\bar{\partial}^2 = 0$) with the $(0, 1)$ -component \bar{D} of the connection on $j(R^{2n})$. In coordinate, a section ψ of the bundle \tilde{E} is holomorphic if

$$(\bar{\partial}_a + J_a^b \partial_b + \bar{B}_a + J_a^b B_b) \psi(x, J) = 0, \quad (2)$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{J}_a^b} \psi(x, J) = 0, \quad (3)$$

where $B_1 = 2^{-1/2}(A_1 - iA_2), \dots, B_n = 2^{-1/2}(A_{2n-1} - iA_{2n}), \bar{J}_a^b$ is a complex conjugation for J_a^b . Condition (3) is equivalent to the choice of complex coordinates on the manifold F and Eqs. (3) may be trivially satisfied for ψ depending on J_a^b and not depending on \bar{J}_a^b . The linear equations (2),

defining the holomorphic structure in the bundle \bar{E} , put some restrictions on the gauge fields B_a .

The compatibility condition of Eqs.(2) has a form:

$$F_{\bar{a}\bar{b}} + J_a^c F_{c\bar{b}} - J_b^c F_{c\bar{a}} + J_a^c J_b^d F_{cd} = 0, \quad (4)$$

where

$$F_{ab} = \partial_a B_b - \partial_b B_a + [B_a, B_b], \quad F_{c\bar{b}} = \partial_c B_{\bar{b}} - \partial_{\bar{b}} B_c + [B_c, B_{\bar{b}}],$$

$$F_{\bar{a}\bar{b}} = \overline{(F_{ab})}, \quad F_{\bar{c}\bar{b}} = \overline{(F_{cb})}.$$

By definition, Eqs.(4) are the generalized self-duality equations for the gauge fields in R^{2n} .

Now everything reduces to the choice of independent components J_a^b .

By different choices of J_a^b we shall obtain different linear systems, different self-duality equations and the embeddings of different integrable equations into the generalized self-duality equations (4).

Let us choose, for example, $n = 2k$ and $d = 2n = 4k$. Replace a, b, \dots by $(\mu i), (vj), \dots$, where $\mu, \nu, \dots = 1, 2; i, j, \dots = 1, \dots, k$. Put

$$J_{(\mu i)}^{(vj)} = \lambda \varepsilon_{\mu}^{\nu} \delta_i^j, \quad (5)$$

where $\varepsilon_1^2 = -\varepsilon_2^1 = 1, \lambda \in CP^1$. Then Eqs.(2) are reduced to the equations

$$(\bar{\partial}_{x_i} + \lambda \partial_{y_i} + \bar{C}_i + \lambda D_i)\psi = 0, \quad (\bar{\partial}_{y_i} - \lambda \partial_{x_i} + \bar{D}_i - \lambda C_i)\psi = 0, \quad (6)$$

where $\partial_{x_i} \equiv \partial_{1i}, \partial_{y_i} \equiv \partial_{2i}, C_i \equiv B_{1i}, D_i \equiv B_{2i}, i = 1, \dots, k$. Let

$$\partial_{y_i} \psi = -\bar{\partial}_{x_{i+1}} \psi, \quad \partial_{x_i} \psi = \bar{\partial}_{y_{i+1}} \psi, \quad (7)$$

and $\partial_{y_i} \psi = D_i = C_i = 0$ when $1 \leq l < i \leq k$. Then linear system (6) is reduced to the systems, considered in [2,6].

Now let

$$J_{(\mu i)}^{(vj)} = \delta_{\mu}^{\nu} J_i^j. \quad (8)$$

where $J_1^2 = -J_2^1 = \pi^2, J_1^3 = -J_3^1 = \pi^3, \dots, J_1^k = -J_k^1 = \pi^k$, and other J_i^j equal zero. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(\bar{\partial}_{\mu 1} + \pi^A \partial_{\mu A} + \bar{B}_{\mu 1} + \pi^A B_{\mu A})\psi &= 0, \\
(\bar{\partial}_{\mu 2} - \pi^2 \partial_{\mu 1} + \bar{B}_{\mu 2} - \pi^2 B_{\mu 1})\psi &= 0, \\
&\dots \\
(\bar{\partial}_{\mu k} - \pi^k \partial_{\mu 1} + \bar{B}_{\mu k} - \pi^k B_{\mu 1})\psi &= 0,
\end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

where $A = 2, \dots, k$. Let $\partial_{\mu 1}\psi = \bar{\partial}_{\mu 2}\psi = \dots = \bar{\partial}_{\mu k}\psi = 0$, $B_{\mu 1} = \bar{B}_{\mu 2} = \dots = \bar{B}_{\mu k} = 0$. Then Eqs. (9) are reduced to the equations, introduced by Ward [10]:

$$\begin{aligned}
(\partial_t + \pi^A \partial_{1A} + \bar{B}_{11} + \pi^A B_{1A})\psi &= 0 \\
(\partial_x + \pi^A \partial_{2A} + \bar{B}_{21} + \pi^A B_{2A})\psi &= 0
\end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

where $\partial_t \equiv \bar{\partial}_{11}$, $\partial_x \equiv \bar{\partial}_{21}$.

Finally, in (10) let $\pi^A = f^A(\lambda)$, where f^A are functions of $\lambda \in C$. It means that we consider one-dimensional complex submanifold B in the base F of the bundle $j(R^{2n}) \rightarrow F$ and the restriction $Z = j(R^{2n})|_B$ of this bundle on B . Then Eqs. (10) will define the holomorphic structure in the bundle \bar{E} over the twistor manifold Z .

We may embed the equations of any integrable model in $(1+1)$ dimensions in Eqs.(10) if we put $\partial_{\mu A}\psi = 0$, choose the functions $f^A(\lambda)$, matrices $B_{\mu A}$, $\bar{B}_{\mu A}$ and a number k ($d = 4k$). For example, the Landau — Lifshitz equations may be obtained as a particular case of Eqs.(10) when $k = 7$.

If we take $\partial_y \equiv \partial_{1k}$, $\partial_{2A}\psi = 0$, $\partial_{1i}\psi = 0$ when $i \neq k$, $\partial_y\psi \neq 0$ and choose $\pi^A = \lambda^{A-1}$, then Eqs.(10) coincide with the equations of the integrable models in $(2+1)$ dimensions, introduced in [6]. It is not clear now whether all the integrable equations in $(2+1)$ dimensions may be embedded into Eqs.(10) or not. Apparently, this may be done if one will use the infinite dimensional Lie algebras (see, e.g., [5,21]). In any case, all integrable equations in $(2+1)$ dimensions and many integrable equations in $(2+1)$ dimensions can be obtained upon appropriate reduction of the generalized SDYM equations (4).

References

1. Ward R.S. — Phil. Trans. R. Soc. Lond., 1985, A315, p.451; Lect. Notes Phys., 1987, 280, p.106; Lond. Math. Soc. Lect. Notes Ser., 1990, 156, p.246.

2. Mason L.J., Sparling G.A.J. — *Phys. Lett.*, 1989, A137, p.29.
3. Chakravarty S., Ablowitz M.J., Clarkson P.A. — *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 1990, 65, p.1085; 2086 E; Ablowitz M.J., Chakravarty S., Takhtajan L.A. — *PAM Report*, 1991, 108; 1991, 113.
4. Bakas I., Depireux D. — *Mod. Phys. Lett.*, 1991, A6, p.1561.
5. Chakravarty S., Ablowitz M.J. — In: *Painleve Transcendents*, Ed. by D.Levi and P.Winternitz, Plenum Press, N.Y., 1992, p.331.
6. Strachan I.A.B. — *Twistor Newsletters*, 1992, 34; Oxford preprint, 1992.
7. Ivanova T.A., Popov A.D. — *Phys. Lett. A*, in press.
8. Belavin A.A., Zakharov V.E. — *Phys. Lett.*, 1978, B73, p.53.
9. Salamon S. — *Invent. Math.*, 1982, 67, p.143.
10. Ward R.S. — *Nucl. Phys.*, 1984, B236, p.381.
11. Galperin A. et al. — *Ann. Phys.*, 1988, 185, 1 and 22.
12. Corrigan E. et al. — *Nucl. Phys.*, 1983, 214, p.452.
13. Corrigan E., Goddard P., Kent A. — *Commun. Math. Phys.*, 1985, 100, p.1.
14. Galicki K., Poon Y.S. — *J. Math. Phys.*, 1991, 32, p.1263.
15. Popov A.D. — *Mod. Phys. Lett.*, 1992, A7, p.2077.
16. Faddeev L.D., Takhtajan L.A. — *Hamiltonian Methods in the Theory of Solitons*, Springer, Berlin, 1987; Dubrovin B.A., Krichever I.M., Novikov S.P. — *Integrable Systems: Modern Problems in Mathematics. Fundamental Directions*, V.4, VINITI, Moscow, 1985.
17. Atiyah M.F. — *Classical Geometry of Yang — Mills Fields. Fermi Lectures*, Scuola Normale Superiore Pisa, 1979.
18. Hitchin N.J., Karlhede A., Lindstrom U., Rocek A. — *Commun. Math. Phys.*, 1987, 108, p.535.
19. O'Brien N.A., Rawnsley J.H. — *Ann. Global Anal. Geom.*, 1985, v.3., N 1, p.29; Rawnsley J.H. — *Lect. Notes Math.*, 1987, v.1263, p.97; Burstall F.E. — *Lond. Math. Soc. Lect. Notes Series.*, 1990, v.156, p.53; Baston R.J., Eastwood M.G. — *The Penrose Transform. Its Interaction with Representation Theory*, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1989.
20. Milnor J.W., Stasheff J.D. — *Characteristic Classes*, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, 1974.
21. Chakravarty S., Kent S., Newman E.T. — *J. Math. Phys.*, 1992, 33, p.382.

Received on October 2, 1992.